

|   |                                       |  |           |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|-----------|
| <b>Pre-Interview Communication</b><br>(For use in the First Action Interview Pilot Program) | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/634,250  | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>DESCHRYVER ET AL. |           |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Kavita Padmanabhan | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2161                  | Page 1 of |

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE **ONE MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS**, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

**This time period for reply is NOT extendable under 37 CFR 1.136(a). This communication constitutes notice under 37 CFR 1.136(a)(1)(i).**

To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must, within this time period for reply, file:

- (1) A letter requesting not to have a first-action interview, or
- (2) A completed Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form (PTOL-413A) accompanied by a proposed amendment or arguments.

Inventor participation in the Pre-First Action Interview is encouraged if it would expedite resolution of the application.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 3) ☒ Claim(s) 6-8 and 13-25 is/are pending in the application.
  - 3a) Of the above claim(s) 18-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 4) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 6-8, 13-17, and 22-25 is/are rejected.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 8) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 9) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 5 August 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 10) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 11) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Contact Information**

Examiner's Telephone Number: (571)272-8352  
 Examiner's Typical Work Schedule: Monday-Friday, 9:00am-5:30pm  
 Supervisor's Name: Apu Mofiz  
 Supervisor's Telephone Number: 571-272-4080

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date, \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

6/28/04, 8/16/04, 9/3/04, 5/25/05, 3/7/06, 6/10/06, 7/7/06

|   |  |                                       |  |           |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|-----------|
| <b>Pre-Interview Communication</b><br>(For use in the First Action Interview Pilot Program) |  | <b>Application No.</b><br>10634250    | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>DESCHRYVER ET AL. |           |
|   |  | <b>Examiner</b><br>Kavita Padmanabhan | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2161                  | Page 2 of |

**Notification of Rejection(s) and/or Objection(s)**

| # | Claim(s)        | Reference(s)<br>(if applicable) | Rejection<br>Statutory Basis | Brief Explanation of Rejection   |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 6-8,13-17,22-25 |                                 | 112, 2nd                     | With respect to claim 6, the terms "each methodology" and "another methodology" lack proper antecedent basis in the claim since the claim only recites "a methodology."  |
| 2 | 6-8             | A                               | 102(b)                       | Claim 6 (defining - par [0011]; organizing - par [0016],par [0072]; publishing - par [0009],Fig.2; wherein - par [0049],par [0072]); Claim 7 (pars [0014]-[0016], [0072]); claim 8 (par [0044],[0051],[0057],par[0073])                                  |
| 3 | 13-17, 22-25    | A,B                             | 103(A)                       | Claim 13 - A does not explicitly describe role information. B describes role information (col. 14, lines 40-46). It would have obvious to include roles in A to further compartmentalize the processes (B; col. 14, lines 40-46); (pls. see cont. below) |
|   |                 |                                 |                              |  |
|   |                 |                                 |                              |  |

**Expanded Discussion/Commentary**

|   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| 3 |  | Claims 14,15 (B, col. 1, lines 27-51, col. 7, lines 46-51); Claims 16-17 - similar rationale for combining and citations as claims 13-15 and Figs. 2, 5, 7, 10, 18 of B; claims 22-25 - similar rationale for combining and A, Fig. 6, par [0005], par [0016], abstract; B, abstract |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |

|                          |   |  |
|--------------------------|---|--|
| <b>DATE:</b><br>3/2/2009 | /Kavita Padmanabhan/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 2161 |  |
|--------------------------|---|--|